

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/803,319	03/09/2001	Daniel G. Anderson	0492611-0392 (MIT-9128)	5731
75	590 08/15/2003			
Sam Pasternack			EXAMINEC	
Choate, Hall & Stewart 53 State Street			BAKER, MAURIE GARCIA	
Boston, MA 0	2109	•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1639	iC/
		• •	DATE MAILED: 08/15/2003	18

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Interview Summary

Application No. 09/803,319

Applicant(s)

Anderson et al

Examiner

Maurie G. Baker, Ph.D.

Art Unit

1639



All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):					
(1) Maurie G. Baker, Ph.D.	(3) <u>Sam Pasternack</u>				
(2) Charles Lyon	(4) Dan Anderson				
Date of Interview Aug 14, 2003					
Date of Interview Aug 14, 2003					
Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) ☐ Personal [copy is given to 1) ☐ applicant	2) applicant's representative]				
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) $\square$ Yes	e) 🛮 No. If yes, brief description:				
	<u></u>				
Claim(s) discussed: All pending (especially 1, 2 and 12)					
Identification of prior art discussed:					
Khrapko et al (US 5,552,270)					
Agreement with respect to the claims $f)$ was reached. $g$ was not reached. $h$ $X$ N/A.					
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:					
Discussed invention in general and possible claim amendments. The examiner stated that amending the claims to add					
limitations that the "polymeric biomaterials" are soluble, synthetic polymers and that there are two or more different					
polymers present in the claimed microarray would be likely to receive favorable consideration if filed in continuing					
prosecution. However, such an amendment would not be entered After Final as it would require new search and consideration.					
consideration.	,				
	·				
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)					
i) 🛛 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separ	rate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).				
Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached					
	MAURIE G. BAKER, PH.D. PRIMARY EXAMINER ART UNIT 1639				
	·				
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.	Examiner's signature, if required				